

# Action List Tracker

The West Midlands Rail Freight Interchange Order 201X

Four Ashes Limited

## WMI: ACTION LIST TRACKER

---

### 1 Introduction

- 1.1** The following table details where the Applicant has responded to the ExA's post-hearing actions throughout the Examination Period.
- 1.2** The first three columns (Agenda Item, Action and Deadline) are taken directly from the ExA's lists – with the 'notes' column wayfinding to where the action has been resolved. The note covers the actions of the Applicant only.
- 1.3** Hyperlinks are provided (where available) to documents contained within the TR050005 West Midlands Interchange Examination Library.

### 2 Action List – Compulsory Acquisition Hearing 5 June 2019 (EV-023)

Agenda Item	Action	Deadline	Reference
2 – Croft House & MMS Gas Power	Applicant to provide clarification on legal interests in Croft House and MMS Gas parcels	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) - 14 June	Ref 1.2.1 (p.2), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions ( <a href="#">REP4-003</a> ; DL4; Doc 14.1)
4 – Inglewood Investment Company Limited's Interests	Applicant to provide detail on cut and fill balance (including implications on drainage and green infrastructure) as a case study on the Inglewood land.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) - 14 June	Inglewood Engineering Note, Appendix 1, Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions ( <a href="#">REP4-004</a> , DL4, Doc 14.1)
	Applicant to confirm what percentage of the Development site is comprised of the Inglewood owned land.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) - 14 June	Paragraph 4.5 (p.6), Compelling Need and VSC Note, Appendix 2, Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (CAH, ISH 2 and ISH3) ( <a href="#">REP4-004</a> , DL4, Doc 14.1)
	Applicant to provide justification for the revised Green Belt boundary envisaged in the Calf Heath area of the Site and a comparison to the suitability of Vicarage Road as a revised GB boundary.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) - 14 June	Section 7 (p.8), Compelling Need and VSC Note, Appendix 2, Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (CAH, ISH 2 and ISH3) ( <a href="#">REP4-004</a> , DL4, Doc 14.1)
	Applicant to provide justification as to why the demand for logistics development	DL4 (Post Hearing	Paragraph 3.4 (p.4), Compelling Need and VSC Note, Appendix 2,

	demonstrated by the Applicant should all be accommodated on one site.	Submissions) - 14 June	<b>Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (CAH, ISH 2 and ISH3) (<a href="#">REP4-004</a>, DL4, Doc 14.1)</b>
	Applicant and Inglewood to provide a joint note confirming what is and is not agreed in respect of the inputs into and assumptions underpinning the Inglewood viability calculations and why. Similar to a Scott Schedule.	DL5 (5 July)	<b>Note on Viability, Appendix 1, Applicant's Responses to Other Parties Deadline 4 Submissions (<a href="#">REP5-006</a>, Doc 15.2, DL5)</b>  and  <b>ExQ3.8.2 (p.26), Applicant's Responses to ExQ3 and Requests for Further Information (<a href="#">REP7-004</a>, Doc 17.2, DL6)</b>  for confirmation of the signed Inglewood agreement. Scott schedule and Inglewood viability calculations therefore not required.  also see  <b>Inglewood Investment Company – Response to ExA 3<sup>rd</sup> Written Questions (<a href="#">REP7-036</a>, DL7)</b>
	Applicant to consider its position on demonstrating the viability of the proposal and any evidence it wishes to submit in relation to this. Applicant to consider the relevance of viability to Green Belt issues (extent of harm to openness resulting from the scale of land take) and CA proportionate approach. Is the Applicant seeking to acquire more than is necessary to achieve the SRFI objectives in accordance with the NPS? Advise the Ex A whether, if a smaller scheme is viable, there is still a case, having regard to the GB and NPS, for the larger scheme.	DL5 (5 July)	<b>Note on Viability, Appendix 1, Applicant's Responses to Other Parties Deadline 4 Submissions (<a href="#">REP5-006</a>, Doc 15.2, DL5)</b>  and  <b>Applicant's Dashboard Note, Appendix 1, Applicant's Response to ExQ and Requests for Information (<a href="#">REP7-004</a>, Doc 17.2, DL7)</b>

	Applicant to provide update to Book of Reference, Statement of Reasons and Land Plans at the same time as the next draft CA Status Report. Updates to include clarification on the mineral acquisition.	DL6 (19 July)	<p>ExQ3.8.4 and ExQ3.8.5 (p. 28/29), Applicant's Responses to ExQ3 and Requests for Further Information (<a href="#">REP7-004</a>, Doc 17.2, DL6)</p> <p>and</p> <p>Updated Statement of Reasons (<a href="#">REP7-014/15</a>, Document 4.1B, DL7)</p> <p>and</p> <p>Updated Book of Reference (<a href="#">REP7-005/06</a>, Document 4.3A, DL7)</p>
--	---	---------------	--

### 3 Action List – Accessibility and Transport Hearing 5 June 2019

Agenda Item	Action	Deadline	Action
3 – Rail Connectivity	Applicant to provide evidence of other SRFI GRIP Stages at time of submission for DCO	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Section 1 (p.1), Rail Connectivity Note, Appendix 3, Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions, ( <a href="#">REP4-004</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)
	Applicant to confirm proportion of iPort occupiers using rail	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Section 2 (p.1), Rail Connectivity Note, Appendix 3, Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions, ( <a href="#">REP4-004</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)
	Applicant to provide evidence of quantum of floorspace occupied when first freight train became operational at other SRFIs and provide a view on where the "tipping point" lies in terms of demand to support the first rail freight service.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Section 3 (p.1), Rail Connectivity Note, Appendix 3, Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions, ( <a href="#">REP4-004</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)
	Applicant to provide reports and decision notices for Radlett, iPort and Howbury, including recent Howbury appeal decision,	In response to ExQ2 (DL5, 5 July)	SRFI Consents in the Green Belt, Appendix 7, Applicant's Responses

	for other Green Belt SRFIs. Applicant may comment on their view of the decisions and any conditions attached re the delivery of the rail infrastructure relative to occupation of the proposed warehousing and any implications for the WMI proposals.		to Examining Authority's Second Written Questions and Requests for Information (ExQ2) ( <a href="#">REP5-004</a> , Doc 15.1, DL5)
4 – Proposed Travel Plan measures	Applicant to provide details on increased frequency of 54 bus route, data on current use and proportion of workforce anticipated to use this service. Also provide first and last bus times from Stafford and Wolverhampton.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Ref 2.4.1 (p.5), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions ( <a href="#">REP4-003</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)
	Applicant to provide evidence that the extended service will become self-sustainable in the future.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Ref 2.4.2 (p.6), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions ( <a href="#">REP4-003</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)
	Applicant to provide details on i54 bus service which has recently reduced its service following end of subsidisation.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Ref 2.4.3 (p.6), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions ( <a href="#">REP4-003</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)
	Applicant to provide further details on how shuttle buses provision will be work in practice.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Ref 2.4.4 (p.7), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions ( <a href="#">REP4-003</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)
	Applicant to provide details of the implications for cyclists wishing to turn right from A449 into Station Drive.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Ref 2.4.5 (p.8), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions ( <a href="#">REP4-003</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)
	Applicant to provide details on assessment undertaken of impact on Four Ashes Industrial Estate from the right turn ban.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Ref 2.4.6 (p.8), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions ( <a href="#">REP4-003</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)
	5 – Likely traffic effects on the A5 west of Gailey Roundabout	Applicant to confirm the application of the mitigation funding in respect of noise and vibration for homes along the A5 west of the Gailey roundabout.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June

6 – Likely traffic effects on the A449 south of Station Drive	Applicant to respond to REP2-178	In response to ExQ2 (DL5, 5 July)	<b>ExQ2.2.27 (p.51), Applicant's Responses to Examining Authority's Second Written Questions and Requests for Information (ExQ2) (REP5-003, Doc 15.1, DL5)</b>
7 – Likely effects on local roads passing through nearby villages	Applicant to signpost where the likelihood of "rat running" has been assessed in the application documents and explain in further detail how the Contingent Traffic Management Fund will work.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	<b>Ref 2.7.1 (p.10), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (REP4-003, Doc 14.1, DL4)</b>
8 – Proposed operation and enforcement of proposed HGV ban on A449 north of Gailey roundabout	Applicant to provide details of the scheme agreed with HE.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	<b>Ref 2.8.1 (p.11), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (REP4-003, Doc 14.1, DL4)</b>
9 – Highway Mitigation measures	Applicant to identify the location of the Crateford Lane traffic data in the TA and confirm the current level of usage and rationale for the mitigation proposed.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	<b>Ref 2.9.1 (p.12), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (REP4-003, Doc 14.1, DL4)</b>
	Applicant to identify the location of the Station Road Right Turn Ban traffic data in the TA and explain the rationale for the HGV Turning Head in more detail.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	<b>Ref 2.9.2 (p.13), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (REP4-003, Doc 14.1, DL4)</b>
	Applicant to provide detailed response on possible closure of road between Station Road and Station Drive as an alternative to the Right Turn Ban in response to representations made on behalf of the managers of the Four Ashes PH.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	<b>Ref 2.9.3 (p.13), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (REP4-003, Doc 14.1, DL4)</b>
	Applicant to provide details on assessment undertaken for alternative junctions at Vicarage Road and confirm whether the roundabout should be regarded as mitigation or whether it is needed to make the scheme work.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	<b>Ref 2.9.4 (p.14), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (REP4-003, Doc 14.1, DL4)</b>

	Applicant and Highways England to provide layby survey information and view of whether there is any disbenefit in moving the laybys.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Ref 2.9.5 (p.16), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (REP4-003, Doc 14.1, DL4)
10 – Measures proposed to avoid increase in off-site HVG parking	Applicant to provide a note on sufficiency of proposed HGV Parking on site.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Technical Note 43 HGV Parking, Appendix 8, Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (CAH, ISH 2 and ISH3) (REP4-007, Doc 14.1, DL4)
12 – Effect on Public Rights of Way having regard to s136 of the Planning Act	Applicant to update Explanatory Memorandum to provide further detail on the justification for no alternative provision of PROW, in respect of the BOAT and Footpath 29.	DL5 (5 July)	Paragraphs 6.34 – 6.40 (p.19/20), Explanatory Memorandum submitted (REP5-010/11, Document 3.2A, DL5).
13 – Other Matters	Applicant to confirm number of properties affected by Harrisons Lane Left In – Left Out proposals.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Ref 2.13.1 (p.132), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (REP4-003, Doc 14.1, DL4)

#### 4 Action List – Environmental Matters Hearing 6 June 2019

Agenda Item	Action	Deadline	Action
3 – Air Quality	SSDC to submit Air Quality Consultants reports into the Examination	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Ref 3.3.1 (p.17), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (REP4-003, Doc 14.1, DL4)
	Applicant to liaise with Wolverhampton Council, Walsall Council and Cannock Chase Council to seek to obtain their view on the Air Quality Assessment and its findings and the effect on AQMAS in their administrative areas.	As soon as possible – ongoing action	The Applicant did liaise with the 3 stated Councils following the Environmental Matters hearing and requested comments on the Air Quality Assessment. City of Wolverhampton Council and Walsall Council provided additional comments at:

			City of Wolverhampton and Walsall Council Response ExQ2.7.1, ( <a href="#">REP5-044</a> )
	Applicant to confirm the number of properties at Receptor 7A	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	AQ Note, Appendix 9, Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (CAH, ISH2 and ISH3) ( <a href="#">REP4-007</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)
	Applicant to provide a note on what mitigation options might be available for Receptor 7A (if applicable)	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	AQ Note, Appendix 9, Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (CAH, ISH2 and ISH3) ( <a href="#">REP4-007</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)
	Applicant to confirm the full extent of the AQ assessment including in relation to Crateford Lane	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Ref 3.3.4 (p.18), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions ( <a href="#">REP4-003</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)
	Applicant to reconsider ExQ1.8.10 in respect of operational monitoring	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	AQ Note, Appendix 9, Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (CAH, ISH2 and ISH3) ( <a href="#">REP4-007</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)
4 – Noise	SSDC to submit Hepworth Consultants reports into the Examination	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Ref 3.4.1 (p.18), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions ( <a href="#">REP4-003</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)
	Applicant to consider if any other mitigation for canal users is workable for moorings.	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Section 7 (p.4), Noise Submission, Appendix 9, Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (CAH, ISH2 and ISH3) ( <a href="#">REP4-008</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)
	Applicant to provide non-technical summary of the noise insulation scheme	As soon as possible	Noise Submission (draft attached to end of submission), Appendix 9, Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions



			(CAH, ISH2 and ISH3) ( <a href="#">REP4-008</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)
5 – Ecology	Applicant to revisit paragraph 3.3.2 of the updated FEMMP in respect of the protection for future veteran trees	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Ref 2.5.1 (p.19), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions ( <a href="#">REP4-003</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)
8 – Heritage	Applicant and SCC to confirm the common ground on the prospect of a significant archaeological find and the implications for variation to the written scheme of investigation	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Ref 2.8.1 (p.19), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions ( <a href="#">REP4-003</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)
9 – In combination effects on nearest residential receptors	Applicant to revisit paragraph 17.13 of ES Chapter 17 and clarify the worst case scenario in terms of approximate duration	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) 14 June	Ref 2.9.1 (p.20), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions ( <a href="#">REP4-003</a> , Doc 14.1, DL4)

## 5 Action List – DCO/DCOb Hearing 6 June 2019

Agenda Item	Action	Deadline	Action
	Applicant to consider HE and SCC preference for definition of “verge”	DL5 (5 July)	p.1, DCO Changes Tracker ( <a href="#">REP5-009</a> , Doc 3.4B, DL5)  and  p.1, dDCO ( <a href="#">REP5-008</a> , Doc 3.1C, DL5)
	Applicant to include article to deal with the stopping up of the BOAT in the event the Definitive Map is modified at the time of the approval of the DCO	DL5 (5 July)	Article 12 (new paragraph (3), dDCO ( <a href="#">REP5-008</a> , Doc 3.1C, DL5).  Please note recent amendments following ISH6 where “BOAT” is changed to “public right of way” ( <a href="#">REP6-004</a> , Doc 3.1D, DL6) and (Doc 3.1E, DL8).

	Applicant to consider potential changes to A12 to deal with SCC comments and implications for any schedules	DL5 (5 July)	<p>dDCO (<a href="#">REP5-008</a>, Doc 3.1C, DL5)</p> <p>see also</p> <p>Access and Rights of Way Plans (<a href="#">REP5-012</a> – <a href="#">REP5-018</a>) Doc series 2.3, DL5)</p>
	Applicant to review A15 to check correct sections of HA 1980 referred to in terms of classification of the A5/A449 link road	DL5 (5 July)	<p>Article 15, dDCO (<a href="#">REP5-008</a>, Doc 3.1C, DL5).</p>
	Applicant to consider information from HE as above and consider necessary amendments to the DCO and Plans [This related to a HE action regarding requested TRO amendments)	DL5 (5 July)	<p>Part 1, Schedule 9, dDCO (<a href="#">REP5-008</a>, Doc 3.1C, DL5).</p> <p>and</p> <p>Traffic Regulation Plans (<a href="#">REP5-024</a> – <a href="#">REP5-026</a>, Doc series 2.11, DL5)</p> <p>see also</p> <p>Applicant's Response to Other Parties Deadline 6 Submissions (<a href="#">REP7-003</a>, Document 17.1, DL7)</p> <p>and</p> <p>Traffic Regulation Plans (<a href="#">REP7-007</a> – <a href="#">REP7-011</a>, Doc series 2.11, DL7)</p> <p>and</p> <p>Applicant's Response to Highways England (REP7-030), Applicant's Response to Other Parties Deadline 7 Submissions (Doc 18.1, DL8)</p> <p>and</p> <p>dDCO (Doc 3.1E, DL8)</p>

	Applicant and HE to discuss culvert position further	Ongoing action	<b>Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (ISH6) (<a href="#">REP6-013</a>, Doc 16.3, DL6)</b>  and  <b>Applicant's Response to Highways England (REP7-030), Applicant's Response to Other Parties Deadline 7 Submissions (Doc 18.1, DL8)</b>
5 – Schedule 2 (Annex 4)	Applicant and SCC to give consideration to SCC's desired amendments to the requirements and amend if necessary	DL4 (Post Hearing Submissions) - 14 June Moved to D5	<b>dDCO (<a href="#">REP5-008</a>, Doc 3.1C, DL5)</b>  and  note SCC's confirmation at ISH6 that the requirements are agreed  and  <b>SCC SoCG Addendum (Doc 8.5B, DL8)</b>
	Applicant to amend paragraph 4 Part 2 Sch 2 to include an obligation to demonstrate to SSDC's satisfaction in respect of matters outside the Applicant's control	DL5 (5 July)	<b>dDCO (<a href="#">REP5-008</a>, Doc 3.1C, DL5)</b>
7 – Draft DCOB (Annex 5)	Applicant to provide Barred Route Plan and Approved Route Plans to Stop WMI	As soon as possible	Provided to Stop WMI's solicitor on 27 June 2019.  and  <b>Schedule 10, dDCO (<a href="#">REP5-031</a>, Doc 7.7F, DL5)</b>
	Applicant to amend definition of "Pink Land" to better relate to the plan.	With the submission of the next draft DCOB	<b>DCOb (Main Site) (<a href="#">REP5-032</a>, Doc 7.7F, DL5)</b>

	Applicant to reconsider and agree revised drafting of paragraph 2.7 to Sch 3	With the submission of the next draft DCOB	DCOb (Main Site) ( <a href="#">REP5-032</a> , Doc 7.7F, DL5)
	Sch 6 – Bespoke Noise Insulation Scheme - Applicant to consider amended drafting for further clarity and amended time periods and agree with SSDC	With the submission of the next draft DCOB	DCOb (Main Site) ( <a href="#">REP5-032</a> , Doc 7.7F, DL5)
8 – Actions arising and submission of updated draft documents	Applicant to submit a Minerals Safeguarding Report	As soon as possible following agreement with SCC	Minerals Resource Statement ( <a href="#">REP4-011</a> , Doc 14.3, DL4)
	Applicant to submit updated Explanatory Memorandum with the next dCO	DL5 (5 July)	Explanatory Memorandum submitted ( <a href="#">REP5-010/11</a> , Document 3.2A, DL5).

## 6 Action List – Achieving SRFI Objectives 10 July 2019

Agenda Item	Action	Deadline	Action
2 – Applicant’s Need Case (level of agreement as to the current need for rail-served logistics)	In respect of Applicant’s response to ExQ1.2.5 Applicant to check plan periods are the same and whether or not the figures assumed straight trajectory of delivery	Deadline 6 – 19 July	Agenda Item 2 (p.49), Response to Action List Items, Applicant’s Responses to Deadline 5 Submissions and Other Requests for Information ( <a href="#">REP6-011</a> , Doc 16.1, DL6)
4 – Relationship between scale of warehousing and the viability/growth of rail services	Applicant to provide information on the distance at which rail freight services become viable economically	Deadline 6 – 19 July	Viability of Rail Services, Appendix 3, Applicant’s Post Hearing Submissions (ISH 5) ( <a href="#">REP6-012</a> , Doc 16.2, DL6)
5 – Rail connectivity (phasing of rail infrastructure and measures to secure this provision)	Applicant to provide details of the phasing of the rail infrastructure assumed in its appraisal and confirm the IRR impact if the rail is delivered before the occupation of any B8 space	Deadline 6 – 19 July	Section 6 (p.12), Applicant’s Post Hearing Submissions (ISH 5) ( <a href="#">REP6-012</a> , Doc 16.2, DL6) and

			Paragraphs 1.20 – 1.28 (p.4), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (ISH6) ( <a href="#">REP6-013</a> , Doc 16.3, DL6)
6 – The need for encroachment on land to the south of Vicarage Road including implications for viability and deliverability of the WMI scheme	<p>Applicant to explain why IRR is a more appropriate metric than profit on cost.</p> <p>In the event of no completed agreement with Inglewood by the end of July, Applicant and Inglewood to provide a joint note confirming what is and is not agreed in respect of the Inglewood viability calculations and why. Similar to a Scott Schedule.</p> <p>Applicant to provide an explanation of the Dashboard assumptions (Appendix 1 to the Applicant's Responses to Other Parties' Deadline 4 Submissions (Document 15.2).</p>	<p>Deadline 6 – 19 July</p> <p>No later than Deadline 7 – 7 August</p> <p>Deadline 7 – 7 August</p>	<p>Section 6 (p.12), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (ISH 5) (<a href="#">REP6-012</a>, Doc 16.2, DL6)</p> <p>ExQ3.8.2 (p.26), Applicant's Responses to ExQ3 and Requests for Further Information (<a href="#">REP7-004</a>, Doc 17.2, DL7)</p> <p>for confirmation of the signed Inglewood agreement. Scott schedule and Inglewood viability calculations therefore not required.</p> <p>Applicant's Dashboard Note, Appendix 1, Applicant's Response to ExQ and Requests for Information (<a href="#">REP7-004</a>, Doc 17.2, DL7)</p>
8 – Green Belt tests – NPS and NPPF	Applicant to address Green Belt uses referred to in paragraph 141 of the NPPF	Deadline 6 – 19 July	Paragraph 7.16/17 (p.16), Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (ISH 5) ( <a href="#">REP6-012</a> , Doc 16.2, DL6)

## 7 Action List – DCO/DCOb Hearing 11 July 2019

Agenda Item	Action	Deadline	Action
3 – Structure of the Order, Definitions, Articles 1 – 49: ExA's	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant to reconsider the drafting of article 4</li> <li>Applicant to submit final draft Northampton Gateway DCO and</li> </ul>	Deadline 6 – 19 July	dDCO ( <a href="#">REP6-004</a> , Doc 3.1D, DL6)  and

<p>observations and questions</p>	<p>explanation of how the wording of article 6 differs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Applicant to amend article 12(3) to refer to public right of way rather than byway open to all traffic</li> <li>• Explanatory Memorandum to be updated in respect of art 17 to include more justification for the amendments to existing TROs requested by HE</li> <li>• Applicant to clarify which land parcels the Mineral Code would apply to</li> <li>• Applicant to add additional wording to art 43 in relation to British Standards and imminent danger (following SSDC comments)</li> <li>• Applicant to consider addition to art 45 similar to EMG article 42 in respect of restriction of approvals to parameters</li> <li>• Applicant to consider potential amendment to article 49 to carve out any Secretary of State decision/approval under the DCO</li> </ul>		<p><b>Explanatory Memorandum (REP6-010, Doc 3.2B, DL6)</b></p> <p>see also</p> <p><b>Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (ISH6) (REP6-013, Doc 16.3, DL6)</b></p>
<p>4 – Schedules 1 and 3 – 13: ExA's observations and questions and comments and questions from interested parties</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Works No 7 – Applicant to provide a note in relation to culvert under A449 and potential solutions for the delivery of the drainage strategy</li> <li>• Part 2 Sch 13 – Applicant and HE to seek to resolve issues in relation to Bond Sum and Cash Surety</li> </ul>	<p>Deadline 6 – 19 July</p>	<p><b>Applicant's Post Hearing Submissions (ISH6) (REP6-013, Doc 16.3, DL6)</b></p> <p>and</p> <p><b>Applicant's Response to Highways England (REP7-030), Applicant's Response to Other Parties Deadline 7 Submissions (Doc 18.1, DL8)</b></p> <p>and</p> <p><b>dDCO (Doc 3.1E, DL8)</b></p>

<p>5 – Schedule 2 Requirements: ExA's observations and questions and comments and questions from interested parties</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Req 20 and 21 – Applicant to add cross reference to where noise levels can be found in the DCO documentation</li> <li>• Req 26 – Applicant to re-order the wording for clarity</li> <li>• Part 2: - Applicant to consider the potential/principle of defining the term “outside of the control of the undertaker” - Applicant to consider whether RR6 should be tightened up</li> </ul>	<p>Deadline 6 – 19 July</p>	<p><b>dDCO (<a href="#">REP6-004</a>, Doc 3.1D, DL6)</b></p>
<p>10 – AOB</p>	<p>Applicant to submit signed and completed versions of the DCOB and the Farmland Bird Mitigation Obligation</p>	<p>As soon as possible</p>	<p><b>Completed Main Site s.106 Agreement and Bird Mitigation s.106 Agreement sent to Examining Authority by guaranteed overnight courier delivery on 20 August 2019.</b></p>